

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USDIO.OOV

Paper No. 11

DOCKET CLERK, DM/NORT P.O DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380

COPY MAILED

FEB 1 1 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Da-Hai Ding Application No. 09/418,161 Filed: October 13, 1999

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 2, 2004, to revive the above identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed December 3, 2002. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the non-Final Office Action. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed October 21, 2003.

Petitioner files the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b). 1

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2664 for appropriate action on the amendment filed February 2, 2004.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attachey at (703) 305-4497.

Patricia Faison-Ball Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and